

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**Zoning Commission**

**ZONING COMMISSION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**ZONING COMMISSION ORDER NO. 1-15**

**Z.C. Case No. 15-15**

**JBG/Boundary 1500 Harry Thomas Way, L.L.C. and**  
**JBG/Boundary Eckington Place, L.L.C.**

**(Consolidated PUD & Related Map Amendment**

**@ 1500 Harry Thomas Way, NE (Square 3576, Lot 814) and**  
**1611-1625 Eckington Place, NE (Square 3576, Lots 2001-2008))**

---

Pursuant to notice, the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia (“Commission”) held a public hearing on May 12, 2016 to consider an application from JBG/Boundary 1500 Harry Thomas Way, L.L.C. and JBG/Boundary Eckington Place, L.L.C. (collectively, “Applicant”) for review and approval of a consolidated planned unit development (“PUD”) for 1500 Harry Thomas Way, NE (Square 3576, Lot 814) and 1611-1625 Eckington Place, NE (Square 3576, Lots 2001-2008) (“Property”) and a related Zoning Map amendment to rezone the Property from the M Zone District to the CR Zone District. The application proposes a mixed-use development incorporating retail and residential uses (the “Project”). The Commission considered the application pursuant to Chapters 24 and 30 and § 102 of the D.C. Zoning Regulations, Title 11 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (“DCMR”). The public hearing was conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR § 3022. For the reasons stated below, the Commission hereby approves the application with conditions.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

**Application, Parties, and Hearing**

1. The Property consists of 1500 Harry Thomas Way, NE (Square 3576, Lot 814) (the “West Parcel”) and 1611-1625 Eckington Place, NE (Square 3576, Lots 2001-2008) (the “East Parcel”).
2. On June 17, 2015, the Applicant submitted an application to the Commission for the review and approval of a consolidated PUD and a related Zoning Map Amendment to rezone the Property from the M Zone District to the CR Zone District. The application submission proposed a mixed-use building with residential, commercial/retail, and parking uses. (Exhibits 2 and 3A.) On November 13, 2015, the Office of Planning (“OP”) submitted a setdown report. (Exhibit 10.)

3. At a public meeting on November 23, 2015, the Commission voted to set the application down for a public hearing and made several information or modification requests of the Applicant relating to the Project proposal.
4. On February 29, 2016, the Applicant filed prehearing submissions responding to issues raised by the Commission and OP at setdown. The Applicant responded with additional plans, diagrams and information regarding:
  - (i) Simplification of multiple buildings' façade treatments and materials;
  - (ii) Refining the Northwest Structure, including the elimination of eight (8) feet (equating to one floor);
  - (iii) Modifications to the Northeast Structure including greater upper story setbacks adjacent to existing rowhouses on R Street, NE and the widening the alley to the north of the Northeast Structure;
  - (iv) Addition of habitable roof structures;
  - (v) Updates to the Project's loading and parking plans (particularly as it relates to sharing such facilities with The Gale);
  - (vi) Addition of potential mezzanine space for commercial areas;
  - (vii) Removal of below grade self-storage use;
  - (viii) Dedication of all affordable housing units to households earning 60% of the area median income (AMI), rather than the previously proposed mix of approximately 6% at 80% AMI and 2% at 50% AMI; and
  - (ix) Additions to and clarifications of the community benefits and amenities proposals;

(Exhibit 11.)
5. Notice of the public hearing was published in the D.C. Register on April 1, 2016 and was mailed to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 5E and to owners of property within 200 feet of the Property. (Exhibit 15.)
6. On March 30, 2016, the Applicant posted notice of the public hearing scheduled for May 12, 2016. (Exhibit 16.)
7. The Applicant submitted a twenty-day prehearing submission on April 22, 2016 with additional information and diagrams in response to the Zoning Commission and OP, including: enhanced drawings and views of the Project, information relating to changes made to the Project in response to community requests (such as setbacks to the Northeast Structure), additional information relating to the Project's public benefits and amenities, including affordable housing, the Applicant's traffic impact study, and further information regarding events in and usage of the Promenade and maker space use guidelines. (Exhibit 23.)

8. Several individuals and neighbors and the Eckington Citizens Association submitted letters of support into the record, as discussed more fully in Findings of Fact 61-64 below.
9. A public hearing was conducted on May 12, 2016. The Commission accepted Eric Colbert as an expert in the field of architecture, Dan Van Pelt as an expert in the field of traffic engineering, Gabriela Canamar as an expert in the field of landscape architecture, and Elizabeth Floyd in the field of LEED/sustainability consulting. The Applicant presented testimony from the experts as well as Bryan Moll, Heather Howard, and John Wilkinson on behalf of the Applicant and submitted additional plans in support of the application. (Exhibit 39.)
10. In addition to the Applicant, ANC 5E was automatically a party in the proceeding and submitted a report in unanimous support of the Application. (Exhibit 37.)
11. The Commission received reports from OP (Exhibit 26), DDOT (Exhibit 27), and the District Department of Energy and the Environment (“DOEE”) (Exhibit 28). At the hearing, the Commission heard testimony from OP, DDOT, and DOEE.
12. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Commission closed the record except for the Applicant’s post-hearing submission and proposed order as well as responses to the Applicant’s post-hearing submission from OP, DDOT, DDOE, ANC 5E, and Jennifer Nieratko (as described above). In the post-hearing submission, the Commission requested that the Applicant provide information regarding the following: further plans and information regarding the eastern façade of the Project and both the design and operations of the promenade; further plans and information regarding the Project’s roof structures, including modifications to certain elements of the roof structures and justification for the request for flexibility from the uniform height requirement for the roof structure at the northeast of the Project; further information regarding the PUD’s LEED commitment and sustainability approaches; further information regarding the location of the PUD’s affordable housing component within the Project; additional detail regarding the Project’s public benefits and project amenities; and further information regarding the commercial tenants to be located at the Property. The Applicant submitted these materials to the Commission in its post-hearing submission dated June 2, 2016. (Ex. \_\_\_\_\_[*Post-hearing submission*].)
13. On [June 13, 2016], the Commission voted to take proposed action to approve the application.
14. The proposed action of the Commission was referred to the National Capital Planning Commission (“NCPC”) as required by the District of Columbia Home Rule Act on \_\_\_\_\_, 2016. NCPC, by delegated action dated \_\_\_\_\_, found that the proposed PUD would not adversely affect the federal establishment or other identified

federal interests in the National Capital and would not be inconsistent with the Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital.

15. On June 20, 2016, the Applicant submitted its list of final proffered public benefits of the PUD and draft conditions, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 2403.16 - 2403.18.
16. On [July 25, 2016], the Commission voted to take final action to approve the application subject to the conditions enumerated in this Order.

## **THE MERITS OF THE APPLICATION**

### **Description of Property and Surrounding Areas**

17. The Property consists of approximately 135,099 square feet of land area. The Property is located in the Northeast quadrant of the District of Columbia bounded by rowhouses, a vacant lot, and a self-storage facility to the north, Eckington Place to the west, the multi-family property that is the subject of Z.C. Order No. 05-23A (“The Gale”) to the south, and Harry Thomas Way to the east. (Exhibit 2.)
18. The entrance to the NoMA / Gallaudet Metrorail station is located approximately 1/4 mile to the southwest of the Property. (Exhibit 2.)
19. The Property is in Single Member District 5E03 of ANC 5E in Ward 5. The main portion of the Eckington neighborhood is to the north and west, with the railroad/Metro right of way and the Union Market district to the east, and NoMA to the south. (Exhibit 2.)
20. The site is currently improved with parking lots and a single-story commercial structure operated as an insurance office on the west side and a two-story commercial structure operating as the “Washington Flower Market” on the east side. (Exhibit 2.)
21. The Future Land Use Map designates the Property in the mixed-use Medium Density Residential / Production, Distribution, and Repair Land Use categories. The Property is identified as a Land Use Change Area on the Generalized Policy Map. (Exhibit 2.)

### **The Project**

22. The Applicant proposes to construct a mixed-use building comprised of four connected structures containing residential units, ground floor commercial uses, and underground parking. Three of the structures will be constructed to a height of approximately 75 feet and one of the structures will be constructed to a height of up to approximately 102 feet. The project will contain up to approximately 703,362 gross square feet (“**GSF**”), which is equivalent to an FAR of up to approximately 5.2. Specifically, the Project will provide up to approximately 695 residential units and up to approximately 77,184 GSF of commercial, retail and service uses. The building will occupy approximately 80% of the

lot. The underground parking area will accommodate up to approximately 331 parking spaces. (Exhibits 2 and 32A.)

23. The Project is designed as four connected structures including one structure of approximately 102 feet and 9-stories at the northwest quadrant of the Property and three structures of 75 feet and 7-stories on the remaining three quadrants of the Property. The 102 foot structure and one 75 foot structure will front on Eckington Place. The two remaining 75 foot structures will front on Harry Thomas Way. The four masses will be connected by a variety of bridges and structural elements, including those spanning the “alley” and plaza area bisecting the site. The PUD will consist of four above-grade structures connected through a series of improvements and structures. Therefore, the Project will be one building for zoning purposes. (Exhibit 2.)

24. The Project’s four connected components are described further as:

- (i) “Northwest Structure” – The structure at the northwest of the Project will be constructed to a maximum of approximately 102 feet. It will contain up to approximately 181,254 GSF of residential space (approximately 176 residential units) over up to approximately 23,379 GSF of ground floor commercial/retail use with up to approximately 14,676 GSF of commercial/retail use in an optional mezzanine space.
- (ii) “Southwest Structure” – The structure at the southwest of the Project will be constructed to a maximum of approximately 75 feet. It will contain approximately 222,199 GSF of residential space (approximately 292 residential units) over up to approximately 28,089 GSF of commercial/retail use with up to approximately 11,040 GSF of commercial/retail use in an optional mezzanine space.
- (iii) “Northeast Structure” – The structure at the northeast of the Project will be constructed to a maximum of approximately 75 feet. It will contain approximately 175,050 GSF of residential space (approximately 182 residential units).
- (iv) “Southeast Structure” – The structure at the southeast of the Project will be constructed to a maximum of approximately 75 feet. It will contain approximately 61,780 GSF of residential space (approximately 45 residential units).

(Exhibits 2 and 32A.)

25. The Applicant proposes amenities such as enhanced affordable housing, significant public space and streetscape upgrades intended to stimulate and enhance pedestrian activity, reduced rent for maker spaces, the provision of a publicly-available promenade and plaza connecting Eckington Place and Harry Thomas Way, contributions to local initiatives and programs, the furtherance of neighborhood connectivity to the Metropolitan Branch Trail and Metro, exemplary architecture, and other amenities. (Exhibit 2.)

26. Aesthetically, the Project's façades strive to capture the feeling of the industrial architecture that was present on the site and is still visible along the nearby railroad tracks in order to convey the neighborhood's authentic industrial characteristics. The Applicant intends to employ façade elements that are a modern abstracted version of the warehouse and production aesthetic. Due to the façade lengths of the Project, the Applicant's project architect created a variety of façade expressions which strive to break down the scale of the building and create hierarchy. The Applicant reduced the amount of materials and expression taking place on the Project's facades, particularly the Eckington Place façade, based on requests from the Commission and the Office of Planning. The result is a façade that adds visual interest and "breaks up" the extent of the street frontages but does not overcomplicate the visual expression of the site. (Exhibits 2, 32A and \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)
27. A further design goal of the project is to maximize the Project's interaction with the public and allow for light and a feeling of openness over a long site, east to west. As such, each component of the Project will read as a separate building, further breaking down the large site. (Exhibit 2.)
28. The Applicant proposed high ceiling commercial/retail space along Eckington Place and the Project's promenade, which will create high-quality commercial opportunities. (Exhibits 2 and 32A.)
29. A central design element of the Project is the creation of the promenade and plaza through the center of the site (the "Promenade"). The Promenade is essentially the extension of Quincy Place through the Property and will operate as a pedestrian oriented focal point for the Project that also allows vehicular circulation. The "alley" has a width of 30 feet for the western portion of the site and a width of 20 feet for the eastern portion. The plaza created at the middle of the size is approximately 80 feet by 79.5 feet – for a total area of 6,360 square feet. The total length of the Promenade from east to west is approximately 638 feet. The Promenade is intended to function hand-in-hand with the more active "maker" and innovative retail uses flanking the space on the Project's ground floor. (Exhibit 2 and \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)
30. The Project will include a significant percentage of larger units, including two and three bedroom units and larger, two-story dwellings to encourage occupancy by families. (Exhibit 2 and \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)
31. The residential component will provide approximately 8% of the residential component (or up to 56 units) as affordable housing, to be set aside for households earning no more than 60% of the Washington DC Area Median Income ("AMI"), which exceeds the requirements of Inclusionary Zoning. (Exhibit 2 and \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)
32. The Project will have significant landscaped and hardscaped areas throughout the structures – particularly focusing on outdoor recreational and communal activities on the

- roof terraces of the building. The Project will deliver a wide, interesting variety of differentiated areas including spaces as a sun deck, an airwalk, a skypark, and multiple courtyards. (Exhibit 2 and \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)
33. While the original application requested the location of self-storage in the Project, that use component has been removed. (Exhibits 2 and 11.)
  34. The Applicant will include approximately 271 long-term bicycle parking spaces in the Project and will work with DDOT to locate approximately 58 short-term bicycle parking in the form of no less than approximately 29 U-racks within and along the perimeter of the site. (Exhibits 2 and \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)
  35. The Project's loading will occur in four places: through the existing 20-foot-wide public alley at the northeast of the Property (for the Northeast Structure loading), through the central private "alley" (for the majority of the commercial loading on the Property and the Northwest Structure), from a curb cut on Eckington Place in The Gale property and from a curb cut on Harry Thomas Way in The Gale property. Such loading facilities will allow space for the types of trucks, delivery vans, and service vehicles anticipated to service the Project. (Exhibits 2, 39A and \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)
  36. The Applicant aims to incorporate a high degree of sustainable elements into the Project. The Project will be designed and constructed to Silver certification under the LEED NC v. 2009 rating system. The Northwest and Southwest Structures will act as one building for LEED NC review purposes and the Northeast and Southeast Structures will act as another building for LEED NC review purposes. In its post hearing submission, the Applicant further agreed to achieve a minimum of 5-6 additional points for each structure under the LEED NC 2009 system. In addition, the Applicant made enhancements to the Project's sustainability, in part by introducing a minimum of 5,000 square feet of solar panels on the Project roof. (Exhibits 2, 32A and \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)

### **Zoning Map Amendment**

37. The Property is located in the M Zone District. Surrounding property is also located in the M Zone District. The M Zone District permits "heavy industrial sites" with a maximum floor area ratio ("FAR") of 6.0, maximum height of 90 feet, and no lot occupancy limit. New residential uses are not permitted in the M Zone. (11 DCMR §§ 820.1, 820.2, 840.1, and 841.1.)
38. The Applicant requested a PUD-related Zoning Map amendment to the CR Zone District for the Property to permit the proposed residential use and to permit the structures to reach the requested height and density. The maximum permitted height in the CR Zone District, with PUD flexibility, is 110 feet and the maximum permitted density is 8.0 FAR. (Exhibit 2.)

39. Pursuant to the same Future Land Use Map designation, the Commission previously approved another PUD and related rezoning from the M Zone District to the C-3-C Zone District for the parcel located immediately south of the Property in Z.C. Order No. 05-23 (2006) (“The Gale PUD”).

### **PUD Flexibility Requested**

40. The Applicant requested flexibility (i) for a special exception under Section 610 to allow for light industrial use in the Project’s commercial structure, (ii) for a special exception under Section 411.11 relating to the Northwest Structure’s roof structure not having a uniform height for the habitable space as required under Section 411.8, (iii) for a special exception under Section 411.11 relating to the Northeast Structure’s roof structure being comprised of more than one enclosure, (iv) for variances from Sections 2201, 2203, and 2204 from the loading requirements of the Project for the number, dimensions, and location of loading facilities, (v) for variance relief from the minimum closed court dimensions of Section 638.2(a), (vi) for a variance Section 2115.2 regarding the maximum allowable percentage of compact spaces provided in the parking garage, and (vii) for a variance from Sections 2603.2, 2603.3, and 2603.4 to allow for the inclusion of affordable housing set aside for households earning no more than 60% AMI. The flexibility was requested in order to accommodate the design of the Project. (Exhibits 2, 11, 23 and \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)
41. The Applicant requested flexibility to phase the construction of the PUD as set forth in the conditions of approval. (Exhibits 2 and 11.)
42. The Applicant requested flexibility to modify the interior and exterior design of the PUD as set forth in the conditions of approval and shown on the approved plans, including the flexibility to design the commercial facades in accordance with tenant and market requirements, including lighting, materials, and the introduction of signage. (Exhibits 2, 11, 11F, and \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)
43. The Applicant requested flexibility to construct commercial space in lieu of residential for portions of the B-1 level of the Southwest Structure and the portion of the Northeast Structure facing the Promenade and Harry Thomas Way. (Exhibit \_\_\_\_\_*[Post-hearing submission]*.)

### **Project Amenities and Public Benefits**

44. As detailed in the Applicant’s testimony and written submissions, the proposed PUD will provide the following project amenities and public benefits:
- (i) Exemplary Urban Design, Architecture, and Open Spaces – The Applicant will provide such items through the use of high quality materials and design that will enhance and celebrate the industrial characteristics of the Eckington



neighborhood, increase the porosity of the neighborhood, and commit to sustainable design features.

- (ii) Site Planning and Efficient Land Utilization – The Applicant will provide such items through the replacement of low-scale structures and surface parking lots with a mixed-use, transit-oriented development that enhances the urban street grid, provides multi-modal transportation connections, strengthens the emerging Eckington neighborhood, and fulfills many of the planning goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.
- (iii) Provision of the Promenade – The Applicant will enhance the connectivity and porosity of the neighborhood patterns through the design, construction, and maintenance of the Promenade.
- (iv) Street-Engaging Maker, Innovative Retail and Commercial Offerings – The Applicant will provide up to approximately 77,184 total square feet of maker, innovative retail and commercial space to activate the public realm around the site and stimulate other development in the vicinity. Most of this space will be double height space.
- (v) Affordable Housing – The affordable housing component of the PUD will be 8% of the residential gross floor area of the PUD for households with an annual income of no more than 60% of the Area Median Income for the Washington, DC Metropolitan Statistical Area (adjusted for household size) for the life of the Project.
- (vi) Repaving of Northeast Alley – The Applicant will repave the alley to the northeast of the Property, subject to approval by DDOT, and has designed such alley to be able to utilize a two (2) foot setback for the first two levels of the Project abutting such alley.
- (vii) Community Meeting Space – The Applicant will provide, at no cost and for the life of the Project, ANC 5E and the Eckington Citizens Association access a community meeting room comprising a total of approximately 1,200 square feet of gross floor area. Such organizations will reserve the meeting space with building management on an ongoing basis.
- (viii) Adopt-A-Block Program – The Applicant will participate in the District’s Adopt-A-Block Program for the two (2) city blocks defined as the north side of Seaton Place, NE at the north, the east side of 3<sup>rd</sup> Street NE at the east, the south side of Randolph Street, NE at the south, and the west side of 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, NE on the west.
- (ix) Contribution for Eckington Banners – The Applicant will contribute up to \$20,000 to the vendor(s) responsible for work relating to the design and installation of banners denoting the Eckington neighborhood on streetlights and/or lampposts surrounding the Property (subject to approval by DDOT). Any excess from such \$20,000 allocation shall be donated to the nonprofits selected by the Eckington Citizens Association described below.
- (x) Public Art – The Applicant will purchase and install a piece of public art in the public space at the entrance of the promenade along Eckington Place, subject to approval by DDOT. The Applicant will work with the community to determine

the ideal type and configuration for such art, subject to the review and approval of such proposal through the public space permitting process. The approximate location for such art is shown on Page L1.02 on Exhibit 32A.

- (xi) Employment Agreement – The Applicant will enter into a First Source Employment Agreement with the Department of Employment Services (“DOES”) in the form submitted into the record as Exhibit 11E to achieve the goal of utilizing District of Columbia residents for at least 51% of the new construction jobs created by the Project or will enter into a similar employment assistance agreement or arrangement with a local nonprofit or community collaborative beneficial to the District or, more specifically, the Brentwood, Eckington or another nearby community.
- (xii) Community Garden – The Applicant will provide a community garden to the surrounding community within the ANC 5E03 boundaries for a minimum of a six (6) year term. The Eckington Citizens Association (or similar community organization) will manage and operate such garden.
- (xiii) Metropolitan Branch Trail Security Enhancements – The Applicant will contribute \$25,000 to DDOT (or the NoMA Business Improvement District) to improve safety measures along the Metropolitan Branch Trail, with a preference on the areas of the portion of the trail north of R Street, NE most directly affecting the Eckington community. Examples of such safety measures include but are not limited to cameras, lighting, and call boxes.
- (xiv) NOMA Park Enhancements – The Applicant will contribute \$25,000 to the NoMA Business Improvement District (or similar organization) for the design and implementation of the NoMA Park on Harry Thomas Way, NE.
- (xv) Maker Space Financial Assistance – The Applicant will subsidize or provide a reduction in rent (or otherwise financially assist) equivalent to \$10.00 per square foot (below then-current market rents) for innovative retail or “maker” uses the commercial areas on the first floor (and related optional mezzanine) for initial lease up of such space, for a minimum of 10,000 gross square feet
- (xvi) Contribution to Community Non-Profit – The Applicant will contribute \$15,000 contribution to *[one or more nonprofit organizations currently operating in Eckington, devoted to substance abuse prevention and/or ending or combating homelessness, as selected by the Eckington Citizens Association at its June 6, 2016 meeting.]*
- (xvii) 3<sup>rd</sup> and Rhode Island Signal (Mitigation Related) – The Applicant will contribute \$115,000 to DDOT towards the traffic signal design and installation project for the signal at 3<sup>rd</sup> and Rhode Island Avenue, NE. Excess funds from such contribution will be allocated to the Mid-City Livability Study design and installations implementing such designs on the east side of Eckington Place adjacent to the Project.
- (xviii) Car Share Spaces (Mitigation Related) – The Applicant will dedicate two spaces in the residential garage or on-street along the perimeter of the site for car sharing services to use with right of first refusal, if the demand exists from car share

companies. These spaces will be convenient to the garage entrance, available to members of the car sharing service 24 hours a day, seven days a week, without restrictions.

- (xix) Bike Share Station and Operating Costs (Mitigation Related) – The Applicant will fund the installation of a Capital Bikeshare station, including the first year of operation of the new station, at the perimeter of the NoMA Green Park (total cost currently \$88,000) or other nearby area subject to DDOT/public space and, if necessary, BID approval.

(Exhibit 2, 11, and \_\_\_\_\_[*Post-hearing submission*].)

### **Compliance with PUD Standards**

45. In evaluating a PUD application, the Commission must “judge, balance, and reconcile the relative value of project amenities and public benefits offered, the degree of development incentives requested, and any potential adverse effects.” The Commission finds that the development incentives for the residential use capability, flexibility, and related rezoning to CR are appropriate and fully justified by the additional public benefits and project amenities proffered by the Applicant. The Commission finds that the Applicant has satisfied its burden of proof under the Zoning Regulations regarding the requested flexibility from the Zoning Regulations and satisfaction of the PUD standards and guidelines set forth in the Applicant’s statement and the OP report.
46. The Commission credits the testimony of the Applicant and its experts as well as OP and DDOT and finds that the superior design, site planning, streetscape and transportation network improvements, housing and affordable housing, uses of special value, transportation demand, Promenade and loading management plans, and employment opportunities of the PUD all constitute acceptable project amenities and public benefits.
47. The Commission finds that the PUD as a whole is acceptable in all proffered categories of public benefits and project amenities, and is superior in public benefits and project amenities relating to urban design, landscaping, and open space, housing and affordable housing, effective and safe transportation access, and uses of special value to the neighborhood and the District as a whole. The Commission credits the testimony of the Applicant and OP and the many letters from ANC 5E and community members and neighbors that the PUD provides significant and sufficient public benefits and project amenities, including elements of substantial value to the community and the District commensurate with the additional density and height sought through the PUD. Further, the Commission credits OP and DDOT’s testimony that the impact of the PUD on the level of services will not be unacceptable.
48. The Commission finds that the character, scale, mix of uses and design of the PUD are appropriate, and finds that the site plan is consistent with the intent and purposes of the PUD process to encourage high quality developments that provide public benefits.

Specifically, the Commission credits the testimony of the Applicant and the Applicant's architectural and transportation planning experts that the PUD represents an efficient and economical redevelopment of a strategic and transit-oriented parcel 1/4 mile from a Metrorail station entrance.

49. The Commission credits the testimony of the Applicant's traffic consultant, who submitted a detailed transportation impact analysis that concluded that the PUD would not generate an adverse traffic impact on the surrounding roadway network due to traffic or parking impacts. The Applicant's traffic expert also concluded that the number of parking and loading spaces and the location of access to parking and loading spaces from the alley would not generate adverse impacts on neighboring property. In addition, the Commission credits the Applicant's traffic consultant to conclude that the use of the shared loading facilities at the adjacent parcel (The Gale) would not create adverse conditions, and in fact would create a more desirable plan for the Eckington neighborhood. The Commission credits the Applicant's transportation expert and DDOT and finds that the traffic, parking, and other transportation impacts of the PUD on the surrounding area are capable of being mitigated through the measures proposed by the Applicant and are acceptable given the quality of the public benefits of the PUD.

#### **Compliance with the Comprehensive Plan**

50. The Commission credits the testimony of OP and the Applicant regarding the Property's designation as Medium Density Residential and Production, Distribution, and Repair on the Future Land Use Map of the District of Columbia. The proposed rezoning to the CR Zone District as well as the height and density of the Project is consistent with this designation, and the rezoning is necessary to permit the mix of uses, height, and density of the PUD, including residential use. In addition, the application is in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan's Generalized Policy Map which includes the Property in the "Land Use Change Area" category. Further, the rezoning is part of a PUD application, which allows the Commission to review the design, site planning, and provision of public benefits and amenities against the requested zoning flexibility.
51. The Commission credits the testimony of the Applicant and OP regarding the compliance of the PUD with the District of Columbia Comprehensive Plan. The development is fully consistent with and furthers the goals and policies in the map, citywide, and area elements of the plan.
- (i) The Commission finds that the proposed PUD is not inconsistent with the written elements of the Comprehensive Plan and, in particular, promotes the policies of its Land Use, Transportation, Housing, Urban Design, and Economic Development Citywide Elements and its Mid-City Area Element.

- (ii) The Project implements Land Use Element policies that designate the area around the New York Avenue-Florida Avenue-Gallaudet University Metrorail station for future growth and encourage infill development near Metrorail stations with mixed-use projects that will encourage transit usage. The PUD and map amendment bring growth and revitalization to the Eckington neighborhood. In addition, the Project will allow for the redevelopment of outmoded and obsolete industrial sites with a vibrant catalyst for the area. The Project is replacing a more suburban form of buildings with a concept that emphasizes and capitalizes on its location. The Project proposes to locate the parking beneath the building to maximize the productive use and interaction on the ground floor.
- (iii) The Project implements Transportation Element policies that promote transit-oriented development and urban design improvements. The PUD brings new housing and commercial uses within walking distance of the Metrorail station and, through its Transportation Demand Management Plan, provides effective incentives to discourage motor vehicle use.
- (iv) The Project implements Housing Element policies that encourage expansion of the city's supply of high-quality market-rate and affordable housing, including affordable housing units that exceed the requirements of Inclusionary Zoning. The Project will produce housing on an underutilized commercial site and will stimulate the development of additional housing in the area. The Project will also furnish a significant amount of affordable housing – 8% of the residential component for households earning less than 60% AMI. Perhaps most importantly, the Project will provide unique unit types in the District – both three bedroom units and multilevel units – that will be attractive to families wishing to either move into or stay in the District. This is particularly noteworthy at this close of a proximity to mass transit.
- (v) The Project implements Urban Design Element policies that call for enhancing the aesthetic appeal and visual character of areas around major thoroughfares. The PUD significantly improves the appearance a key site in the Eckington neighborhood and will catalyze additional investments in the neighborhood. At the same time, the Project will be of scale, height, and density appropriate for a site at the crossroads between the Eckington neighborhood and the NoMA district. The Project will maximize the storefront design and architectural details at the pedestrian level, further encouraging pedestrians to interact with the Project along its entire extensive frontage – including the substantial frontage it will offer in the Promenade itself. The result will be an improved and attractive street environment that will foster pedestrian activity and neighborhood vitality. In addition, the Project will enhance the pedestrian environment by improving public space walkways (by incorporating such items as street furniture and lighting) and bringing them into compliance with the Mid-City East Plan.

- (vi) The Project implements the Economic Development Element policies that call for expanding the retail sector, creating additional neighborhood shopping commercial districts, attracting new businesses, and improving the mix of goods and services available to residents through appropriately-scaled infill development on vacant and underutilized sites. This will help create the critical mass necessary to implement the Ward 5 Works Study and the neighborhood's vision for the area.
  - (vii) The Project implements the Mid-City Area Element policies stating that new businesses that provide local services are to be encouraged, particularly on the east side of the Mid-City Area, that new affordable housing units should be constructed in the area, and that the neighborhood should be "greened". This Element also states that redevelopment of infill sites is to be encouraged when compatible in scale and character with adjacent uses, as is the case here. Further, in accordance with the Element, the Project will deliver a high degree of affordable housing on a site where housing is currently not permitted as a matter-of-right, at levels beyond what would be required by Inclusionary Zoning, if it were to be applied to the site.
52. The Commission credits the testimony of the Applicant, OP and ANC 5E, that the PUD is consistent with and furthers the goals of the Ward 5 Industrial Land Transformation Study.

### **Agency Reports**

53. By report dated May 2, 2016 and by testimony at the public hearing, OP recommended approval of the application. OP supported the PUD-related rezoning to the CR Zone District, which would support the written elements of the Comprehensive Plan and would not be inconsistent the with Future Land Use and Generalized Policy maps of the Comprehensive Plan. In OP's final report:
- (i) OP noted that the maximum height of 102 feet for the Northwest Structure would be within the upper range of the Medium Density Residential category and that such height would be limited to the western end of the Project, adjacent to a self-storage building. Further, OP noted that such massing would result in the Project being significantly lower towards the east, where it is adjacent to townhouses to the north and The Gale apartments to the south.
  - (ii) OP stated that the Project would be not inconsistent with written elements of the Comprehensive Plan noting the Project's consistency with the Land Use, Transportation, Housing, Urban Design and Economic Development Elements in particular.
  - (iii) OP stated that the level of benefits, amenities and proffers appears to be commensurate with the additional height and density the PUD is requesting

through the related map amendment. The greater depth of affordability being proffered for all IZ would be of significant benefit.

- (iv) OP noted that it supported the Applicant's requests for flexibility but did not support the Applicant's request for flexibility from Section 411.9's requirement to construct habitable space in the Northwest Structure's penthouse to two heights. The Applicant submitted a post-hearing submission on June 2, 2016 addressing such reservation.
- (v) OP noted that it still required additional information regarding whether DDOT determined that the traffic mitigation, TDMP and loading and vehicular entrance approaches were acceptable; a comparison of alternative loading proposals and their impacts on both the Project and The Gale; further study of the affordable housing in light of DHCD's administrative guidelines; submission of floor plans showing the location and unit type of the IZ units; A list of project amenities to which the IZ units will have access without an additional fee and those which will require an additional fee; the distance between north side of The Gale and the south side of the Project and the impact on the north-facing windows of The Gale; further information relating to the Project's public benefits and amenities; further study of the historicist elements on the Eckington Place façade and the overall coherence of the architecture; clarification of routes and distances between loading platforms and retail/maker spaces; further information regarding the management and programming of the pedestrian promenade and plaza; further information about additional safety measures to enhance the Promenade; and the relationship of the proposed retail and maker uses to similar offerings proposed for nearby developments.

During the Hearing, OP noted that most of its information requests had been satisfied but requested further information regarding, the justification for having two habitable space heights in the Northwest Structure penthouse, whether the Applicant should request additional sections for its Inclusionary Zoning relief; further specificity regarding the Project's public benefits and amenities; further study of the Project's "historicist" treatment along Eckington Place; further detail regarding the Project's landscaping plans; further information regarding the viability and adaptability of non-residential uses along with differentiations between the Project and other similar endeavors in the vicinity; and further information regarding how the Promenade will be animated.

(Exhibit 26; Transcript May 2, 2016.)

Based on the foregoing, the Commission concludes that the Applicant has addressed OP's requests and concerns.

- 54. By report dated May 2, 2016 and by testimony at the public hearing, DDOT noted that it did not object to the approval of the application subject to certain conditions.

- (i) DDOT noted that the backing movements into public space for the shared loading at The Gale do not meet DDOT standards and requested additional studies of alternatives to such condition.
- (ii) DDOT stated that the Applicant utilized sound methodology to perform its traffic analysis and that the background growth, mode split, and trip generation assumptions proposed by the Applicant are reasonable if supported by an appropriate transportation network and TDM measures.
- (iii) DDOT stated that the proposed parking supply is appropriate for the proposed usage.
- (iv) DDOT requested a loading management plan and DDOT also requested that the Applicant
  - Conduct a signal warrant and, if warranted, design and install a signal at Rhode Island Avenue/3<sup>rd</sup> Street NE;
  - Conduct a warrant analysis and, if warranted, install a four way stop at Eckington Place/Harry Thomas Way, NE;
  - Design and construct traffic calming and pedestrian improvements in line with the Mid-City East Livability Study adjacent to the site;
  - Redesign the loading facilities to provide front in/front out movements on Eckington Place and Harry Thomas Way;
  - Provide a loading management plan for the Promenade;
  - Enhance to the Project's TDM measures to provide an \$85 credit to all new residents for the first five years;
  - Ensure that the definition for market rate parking is the average cost for parking within a quarter mile of the site; and
  - Modify the funding of the Bikeshare station to include the costs for the first year of operation.
- (v) DDOT also indicated that DDOT would continue to review and approve components of the PUD within its jurisdiction for review and permitting, including design and construction of streetscape elements in public space.

During the Hearing, DDOT noted that the Applicant had addressed all of its above comments and conditions by agreeing to specific acceptable responses to each and that DDOT had no further comments or issues with the application.

(Exhibit 27; Transcript May 2, 2016.) Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds that the Applicant has addressed DDOT's conditions and issues.

55. Through its report dated May 3, 2016 and through testimony at the public hearing, a representative of DDOE testified regarding the sustainability elements of the PUD. The Zoning Commission waived its rules and accepted the DOEE report into the record late. The DOEE report noted that the Applicant should further study:



- (i) the Project's energy model and commit to increased energy efficiency;
- (ii) the DC PACE program to assist in financing improvements to energy efficiency and on-site generation;
- (iii) the installation of photovoltaic panels on the Project's roof;
- (iv) opportunities to maximize capture of street runoff along with rainwater harvesting for water reuse in the building; and
- (v) opportunities for the Project to increase its LEED NC level to Gold

(Exhibit 28; Tr. March 26, 2015.)

In its post hearing submission, the Applicant responded to DDOE's recommendations and agreed to achieve additional credits beyond the LEED NC Silver level, described the introduction of solar panels on the Project roof; and discussed elements of the Project's water reuse management strategy. (Exhibit \_\_\_\_\_ *[Post-hearing submission]*.)

Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds that the Applicant has addressed DOEE's conditions and issues.

#### **Advisory Neighborhood Commission 5E Report**

56. ANC 5E submitted a letter in support of the application indicating that at a duly-noticed and regularly-scheduled monthly meeting on April 19, 2016, with a quorum present, ANC 5E unanimously voted to support the PUD and Zoning Map amendment. The ANC noted that the Applicant had been active in the community regarding the Project for a long period of time and had incorporated updates to the Project based on community feedback. The ANC stated that it supported the coordination between the Project and The Gale property, specifically noting the benefits of the combined curb cuts/loading. The ANC further stated that it is supportive of the Applicant's proposed benefits and amenities package, noting that the specific public benefits were tailored to satisfy requests and needs of the community. (Exhibit 37.)

#### **Parties in Support or Opposition**

57. No parties appeared in opposition to the application.
58. The Commission also received a letter in support of the application along with a party status request from Jennifer Nieratko (Exhibit 25.) The Commission discussed whether to grant Ms. Nieratko party status and ultimately decided that it was not warranted given her support for the Project. The Commission kept the record open for Ms. Nieratko to submit any additional information.

#### **Persons and Organizations in Support or Opposition**

59. No persons or organizations appeared in opposition to the application.

60. The Commission also received a letter in support from the Eckington Citizens Association. (Exhibit 38.)
61. The Commission also received a letter in support from the neighboring property owner at 151 Q Street NE (“The Gale”). (Exhibit 20.)
62. The Commission also received letters in support of the application from Michael Aiello and Quinta Martin, Sarah Moxley, Christopher Cafiero, Ami and Jay Hariani, and Matt Miller, all nearby residents. (Exhibits 22, 24, 34, 35, and 36.)
63. Daniel Agold also testified in support of the application at the Hearing and submitted comments into the record. (Exhibit 40.)

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. Pursuant to Zoning Regulations, the PUD process is designed to encourage high-quality development that provides public benefits. (11 DCMR § 2400.1.) The overall goal of the PUD process is to permit flexibility of development and other incentives, provided that the PUD project “offers a commendable number or quality of public benefits, and that it protects and advances the public health, welfare, and convenience.” (11 DCMR § 2400.2.)
2. Under the PUD process of the Zoning Regulations, the Commission has the authority to consider the applicant as a consolidated PUD or a two-stage PUD. The Commission may impose development guidelines, conditions, and standards that may exceed or be less than the matter-of-right standards identified for height, density, lot occupancy, parking, loading, yards, or courts.
3. The Property meets the minimum area requirements of § 2401.1 of the Zoning Regulations.
4. Proper notice of the proposed PUD and related rezoning was provided in accordance with the requirements of the Zoning Regulations and as approved by the Zoning Commission.
5. The development of the PUD will implement the purposes of Chapter 24 of the Zoning Regulations to encourage well-planned developments that will offer a variety of building types with more attractive and efficient overall planning and design not achievable under matter-of-right standards. Here, the height, character, scale, mix of uses, and design of the proposed PUD are appropriate. The proposed redevelopment of the Property, with a mix of residential and commercial uses, capitalizes on the Property’s strategy and transit-oriented location and is compatible with citywide and area plans of the District of Columbia.

6. The Applicant seeks a PUD-related zoning map amendment to the CR Zone District as well as flexibility relating to the closed court, roof structure, Inclusionary Zoning, compact parking percentage, and loading requirements as well as the constraints on light industrial uses in the CR Zone District. The Commission has judged, balanced, and reconciled the relative value of the project amenities and public benefits offered, the degree of development incentives requested, and any potential adverse effects, and concludes approval is warranted for the reasons detailed below.
7. The PUD complies with the applicable height and bulk standards of the Zoning Regulations and will not cause a significant adverse effect on any nearby properties. The residential and commercial uses for this PUD are appropriate for the Property's location. The PUD's height, bulk, and uses are consistent with the District's planning goals for the surrounding neighborhood.
8. The PUD provides superior features that benefit the surrounding neighborhood to a significantly greater extent than a matter-of-right development on the Property would provide. The Commission finds that the urban design, site planning, efficient and safe transportation features and measures, housing and affordable housing, ground-floor retail uses, and uses of special value are all significant public benefits. The impact of the PUD is acceptable given the quality of the public benefits of the PUD.
9. The impact of the PUD on the surrounding area and the operation of city services is not unacceptable. The Commission agrees with the conclusions of the Applicant's traffic expert and DDOT that the proposed PUD will not create adverse traffic, parking, or pedestrian impacts on the surrounding community. The application will be approved with conditions to ensure that any potential adverse effects on the surrounding area from the development will be mitigated.
10. Approval of the PUD and rezoning is not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Commission agrees with the determination of OP and finds that the proposed PUD is consistent with the Property's Medium Density Residential and Production, Distribution, and Repair designation on the Future Land Use Map and furthers numerous goals and policies of the written elements of the Comprehensive Plan as well as other District planning goals for the immediate area.
11. The Commission concludes that the proposed PUD-related Zoning Map Amendment for the Property from the M to the CR Zone District is not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan, including the Property's land use designation on the Future Land Use map, and is appropriate given the superior features of the PUD, the benefits and amenities provided through the PUD, the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, and other District of Columbia policies and objectives. The PUD-related rezoning of the PUD Site to CR is consistent with the purposes and objectives of zoning as set forth in the Zoning Act of 1938, approved June 20, 1938.

12. The PUD will promote the orderly development of the site in conformity with the entirety of the District of Columbia zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Zoning Map of the District of Columbia.
13. The Commission is required under § 5 of the Office of Zoning Independence Act of 1990, effective September 20, 1990 (D.C. Law 8-163, D.C. Official Code § 6-623.04) to give great weight to the recommendations of OP in all zoning cases. The Commission carefully considered the OP reports and found OP's reasoning persuasive in recommending approval of the application.
14. The Commission is required under § 13(d) of the Advisory Neighborhood Commissions Act of 1975, effective March 26, 1976 (D.C. Law 1-21; D.C. Official Code § 1-309.10(d)) to give "great weight" to the issues and concerns raised in the written report of the affected ANC. The Commission carefully considered the ANC 5E position supporting approval of the application and concurred in its recommendation of approval. The Commission was not required to give such "great weight" to the recommendations of other community members and associations submitting materials or testimony into the record. Nevertheless, the Commission carefully considered the issues raised by such parties in its approval of the Project.
15. The Applicant is subject to compliance with D.C. Law 2-38, the Human Rights Act of 1977.

### **DECISION**

In consideration of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order, the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia **ORDERS APPROVAL** of the application for the review and approval of a consolidated and first stage Planned Unit Development and a related Zoning Map amendment from M to CR for the Property subject to the following conditions:

#### **A. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT**

1. The Project shall be developed in accordance with the architectural plans and drawings submitted on June 17, 2015 (Exhibit 3A), as modified by the plans and drawings submitted on February 29, 2016 (Exhibit 11I), as modified by the plans and drawings submitted on April 22, 2016 (Exhibit 23A), as modified by the plans and drawings submitted on May 10, 2016 (Exhibit 32A), as modified by the plans and drawings submitted on June 2, 2016 (Exhibit \_\_\_\_\_ *[Post-hearing submission]*), and as modified by the plans and drawings submitted on \_\_\_\_\_, 2016 (Exhibit \_\_\_\_\_ *[Post-6/3 submission, if any]*), and as modified by the guidelines, conditions, and standards herein (collectively, the "**Plans**").

2. The Project shall include a mixed use building comprised of four connected structures containing up to approximately 703,362 gross square feet (“GSF”), which is equivalent to an FAR of up to approximately 5.2. Such GSF will be comprised of up to approximately 77,184 GSF of commercial, retail and service uses and up to approximately 695 residential units. The Project will contain a parking garage containing up to approximately 331 parking spaces for both commercial and residential parking. Three of the structures will be constructed to a height of up to approximately 75 feet and one of the structures will be constructed to a height of up to approximately 102 feet.
3. The Property shall be rezoned from the M Zone District to the CR Zone District. Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3028.9, the change of zoning shall be effective upon the recordation of the covenant discussed in Condition No D.2.
4. The Applicant shall have flexibility relating to the closed court, roof structure, Inclusionary Zoning, compact parking percentage, and loading requirements as well as the constraints on light industrial uses in the CR Zone District as shown on the Plans and described in the record.
5. The Applicant construct a Promenade as shown on the Plans.
6. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the Applicant shall demonstrate that it has:
  - a. designed and constructed the building to Silver certification or higher under the LEED NC v. 2009 rating system with a minimum of 55-56 points, and
  - b. designed approximately 5,000 square feet of solar panels.

**B. PUBLIC BENEFITS**

1. The affordable housing component of the PUD shall be 8% of the residential gross floor area of the PUD. Prior to the issuance of a building permit for the structures on the West Parcel or the structures on the East Parcel, and for the life of the Project, the Applicant shall demonstrate that it has set aside a minimum of 8% of the residential gross floor area of the relevant structures as affordable housing.
  - a. The affordable housing units shall be available to households with an annual income of no more than 60% of the Area Median Income for the Washington, DC Metropolitan Statistical Area (adjusted for household size) for the life of the Project.
  - b. The Applicant shall distribute the mix of affordable housing unit types proportional to the mix of market rate unit types. The size of the affordable units shall be of a size substantially similar to the market rate units.

- c. The Applicant shall distribute affordable housing units throughout the Project on all floors except that the Applicant shall not be required to locate any affordable units on the top two floors of the structures as shown on Exhibit \_\_\_\_ *[Post-hearing submission, IZ distribution plan exhibit]*. Furthermore, within each floor, the Applicant shall not concentrate such units in any one tier or section of the Project.
2. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for the Northeast Structure, the Applicant shall demonstrate that it has repaved the alley to the northeast of the Property, subject to approval by DDOT, and has designed such alley to be able to utilize a two (2) foot setback for the first two levels of the Project abutting such alley.
3. Upon issuance of the certificate of occupancy for the portion of Project covering such space, the Applicant shall provide, at no cost and for the life of the Project, ANC 5E and the Eckington Citizens Association access a community meeting room comprising a total of approximately 1,200 square feet of gross floor area.
4. Prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the Project and two (2) years after such program begins, the Applicant shall participate in the District's Adopt-A-Block Program for the two (2) city blocks defined as the north side of Seaton Place, NE at the north, the east side of 3<sup>rd</sup> Street NE at the east, the south side of Randolph Street, NE at the south, and the west side of 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, NE on the west.
5. Prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the Project, the Applicant shall contribute up to \$20,000 to the vendor(s) responsible for work relating to the design and installation of banners denoting the Eckington neighborhood on streetlights and/or lampposts surrounding the Property (subject to approval by DDOT). Any excess from such \$20,000 allocation shall be donated to the nonprofits selected by the Eckington Citizens Association as specified in Condition B12.
6. Prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the first structure on the Western Parcel, the Applicant shall purchase and install a piece of public art in the public space at the entrance of the promenade along Eckington Place, subject to approval by DDOT. The Applicant will work with the community to determine the ideal type, and configuration for such art, subject to the review and approval of such proposal through the public space permitting process. The approximate location for such art is shown on Page L1.02 on Exhibit 32A.
7. Prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the Project, the Applicant shall enter into a First Source Employment Agreement with the Department of Employment Services ("DOES") in the form submitted into the record as Exhibit 11E to achieve the goal of utilizing District of Columbia residents for at least 51% of the new construction jobs created by the Project or will enter into a similar employment assistance

agreement or arrangement with a local nonprofit or community collaborative beneficial to the District or, more specifically, the Brentwood, Eckington or another nearby community.

8. Prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the Project, the Applicant shall provide evidence that it has provided a community garden to the surrounding community within the ANC 5E03 boundaries for a minimum of a six (6) year term. The Eckington Citizens Association (or similar community organization) shall manage and operate such garden.
9. Prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the Project, the Applicant shall contribute \$25,000 to DDOT (or the NoMA Business Improvement District) to improve safety measures along the Metropolitan Branch Trail, with a preference on the areas of the portion of the trail north of R Street, NE most directly affecting the Eckington community. Examples of such safety measures include but are not limited to cameras, lighting, and call boxes.
10. Prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the Project, the Applicant shall contribute \$25,000 to the NoMA Business Improvement District (or similar organization) for the design and implementation of the NoMA Park on Harry Thomas Way, NE.
11. Prior to the issuance of the first commercial certificate of occupancy for the Western Parcel, the Applicant shall provide evidence that it has subsidized or provided a reduction in rent (or otherwise financially assisted) equivalent to \$10.00 per square foot (below then-current market rents) for innovative retail or “maker” uses the commercial areas on the first floor (and related optional mezzanine) for initial lease up of such space, for a minimum of 10,000 gross square feet.
12. Prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the Project, the Applicant shall contribute \$15,000 contribution to *[one or more nonprofit organizations currently operating in Eckington, devoted to substance abuse prevention and/or ending or combating homelessness, as selected by the Eckington Citizens Association at its June 6, 2016 meeting.]*

**C. TRAFFIC MITIGATION**

1. Prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the Project, the Applicant shall contribute \$115,000 to DDOT towards the traffic signal design and installation project for the signal at 3<sup>rd</sup> and Rhode Island Avenue, NE. Excess funds from such contribution shall be allocated to the Mid-City Livability Study design and installations implementing such designs on the east side of Eckington Place adjacent to the Project.

2. For the life of the Project, the Applicant shall provide the following transportation demand management (“TDM”) measures:
  - a. The Applicant will identify TDM Leaders (for planning, construction, and operations).
  - b. The TDM Leaders will work with goDCgo staff to create free customized marketing materials and a TDM outreach plan for resident and retail employees, including developing a site-specific transportation guide for residents and visitors.
  - c. Building management will provide updated contact information for the TDM Leader and report TDM efforts and amenities to goDCgo staff once per year.
  - d. Building management will stock Metrorail, Metrobus, DC Circulator, Capital Bikeshare, Guaranteed Ride Home, DC Commuter Benefits Law, and other brochures.
  - e. The Applicant will unbundle all parking costs from the cost of the lease and set the cost at market rate, defined as the average cost for parking within a quarter-mile of the site on a weekday.
  - f. The Applicant will exceed requirements and guidelines by providing no less than approximately 271 long-term bicycle parking spaces in the garages and no less than approximately 58 short-term bicycle parking spaces in the form of no less than approximately 29 U-racks within and along the perimeter of the site, upon the final built-out condition, subject to the approval by DDOT of any items to be installed in the public space.
  - g. The Applicant will install a bicycle maintenance facility in the promenade, or other location on ground floor or first level of garage, upon the final built-out condition.
  - h. The Applicant will install Transportation Information Center Displays (kiosks or screens) within the lobbies of the four (4) residential component structures, containing information related to local transportation alternatives.
  - i. The Applicant will dedicate two spaces in the residential garage or on-street along the perimeter of the site for car sharing services to use with right of first refusal, if the demand exists from car share companies. These spaces will be convenient to the garage entrance, available to members of the car sharing service 24 hours a day, seven days a week, without restrictions (the garage may be gated – members of the service would have access to the spaces via a key pad combination to a pass code system or other similar device).



- j. The Applicant will fund the installation of a Capital Bikeshare station, including the first year of operation of the new station, at the perimeter of the NoMA Green Park (total cost currently \$88,000) or other nearby area subject to DDOT/public space and, if necessary, BID approval.
  - k. The Applicant will provide to each new resident a one-time Bikeshare or carshare subsidy of \$85 (annual membership costs, plus an account credit if an annual membership costs are less than \$85) until the maximum value is obtained. The total amount of subsidies will have a maximum value of \$59,075 cumulative for the PUD (equivalent to providing one subsidy for each dwelling unit). This benefit shall be codified in rental/condominium documents.
3. The Applicant shall provide loading facilities as shown on the Plans. The Applicant shall undertake loading in accordance with the Loading Management Plan submitted into the record as Exhibit \_\_\_\_\_.

**D. MISCELLANEOUS**

1. The Applicant shall have flexibility with the design of the PUD in the following areas:
  - a. To vary the location and design of all interior components, including but not limited to partitions, structural slabs, doors, hallways, columns, signage, stairways, mechanical rooms, elevators, and toilet rooms, provided that the variations do not change the exterior configuration or appearance of the structure;
  - b. To vary final selection of the exterior materials within the color ranges and materials types as proposed, based on availability at the time of construction;
  - c. To make minor refinements to exterior details, dimensions, and locations, including belt courses, sills, bases, cornices, railings, balconies, trim, frames, mullions, spandrels, or any other changes to comply with Construction Codes or that are otherwise necessary to obtain a final building permit, or are needed to address the structural, mechanical, or operational needs of the building uses or systems;
  - d. To vary the residential unit count of the Project by up to 10%;
  - e. To vary the size, location and design features of the commercial component, including the size, location, and design of windows, doors, awnings, canopies, signage, and similar features, to accommodate the needs of specific retail tenants and storefront design subject to the commercial component tenant guidelines submitted as Exhibit 11F in the record;
  - f. In the event that a retail tenant desires to lease the portion of the B-1 level shown on Page \_\_\_\_ of the Plans, the B-1 level may be modified as shown on the Plans



For this reason stated above, the Commission concludes that the Applicant has met its burden, and it is hereby **ORDERED** that the application be **GRANTED**.

On \_\_\_\_\_, upon the motion of Chairman Hood, as seconded by Commissioner Miller, the Zoning Commission **APPROVED** the application at the conclusion of its public hearing by a vote of **5-0-0** (Anthony J. Hood, Marcie I. Cohen, Robert E. Miller, Peter G. May, and Michael G. Turnbull to approve).

On \_\_\_\_\_, upon the motion of \_\_\_\_\_, as seconded by \_\_\_\_\_, the Zoning Commission **ADOPTED** this Order at its public meeting by a vote of **5-0-0** (Anthony J. Hood, Marcie I. Cohen, Robert E. Miller, Peter G. May, and Michael G. Turnbull to adopt).

In accordance with the provisions of § 3028.8 of the Zoning Regulations, this Order shall become final and effective upon publication in the *D.C. Register* on \_\_\_\_\_.

---

**ANTHONY J. HOOD**  
**CHAIRMAN**  
**ZONING COMMISSION**

---

**SARA A. BARDIN**  
**DIRECTOR**  
**OFFICE OF ZONING**